1 2 3 4	CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4349 520 S. Fourth Street, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-5563 contact@christopheroramlaw.com		
5		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6)	
7 8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO: 2:21-cr-00231-RFB-DJA	
9	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE	
10	VS.	SENTENCING) (First Pagnage)	
11	JOSHUA BOYD,) (First Request)	
12	Defendant.		
13			
14			
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by JOSHUA BOYD, by and through		
16	his attorney, CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ., and the United States of America, by and		
17	through JESSICA OLIVA, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, that the sentencing		
18	hearing scheduled for December 2, 2021, be c	ontinued for a period of two (2) weeks, to a	
19	date and time convenient to this Honorable Co	ourt.	
20	The request for a continuance is based upon the following:		
21	Counsel for Defendant Boyd 1	nas been defending a capital murder trial in	
22	State of Nevada v. Contrayo September 27, 2021. The trial i	er Zone, Case No. C-16-316686-2, since	
23	-		
24		t Boyd being unavailable due to the capital en unable to meet with the United States	
25	Probation Officer and Mr. Bo Report ("PSR") interview.	yd to conduct the Presentence Investigation	
26	1 (====,================================		
27	1		

- 3. The parties have agreed to continue the sentencing by a period of two (2) weeks to allow Counsel for Defendant Boyd to complete the capital murder trial and to attend the PSR interview with Mr. Boyd. Continuing the sentencing hearing is necessary to allow the U.S. Probation Officer ample time to complete the PSR in a timely fashion prior to sentencing.
- 4. The Government has no objection to the continuance.
- 5. Mr. Boyd is out of custody, and he does not object to the continuance.
- 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

DATED: October 19, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christopher R. Oram
Christopher R. Oram, Esq.
520 S. Fourth Street, Second Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorney for Joshua Boyd

Jessica Oliva, Esq.
Assistant United States Attorney
501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorney for the United States of America

1	Nevada Bar N	IER R. ORAM, ESQ. No. 4349 Street, Second Floor		
2	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 384-5563			
3	contact@chri	stopheroramlaw.com		
4 5		UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
6		DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
7			***	
8 9	UNITED STA	ATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,) CASE NO: 2:21-cr-00231-RFB-DJA	
10		vs.	ORDER	
11	TOUTHIA DO) (First Request)	
12	JOSHUA BOYD,)	
13	Defendant.)	
14				
15				
16	FINDINGS OF FACT			
17				
18		d on the pending Stipulation of	f counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the	
19	Court finds:			
20	1.		d has been defending a capital murder trial in	
21	State of Nevada v. C September 27, 2021. Th		ayer Zone, Case No. C-16-316686-2, since all is still ongoing.	
22	2.	•	ant Boyd being unavailable due to the capital	
23	2.	murder trial, Counsel has	been unable to meet with the United States	
24		Probation Officer and Mr. I Report ("PSR") interview.	Boyd to conduct the Presentence Investigation	
25			continue the content in the content	
26	3.	1	continue the sentencing by a period of two (2) Defendant Boyd to complete the capital murder	
27			R interview with Mr. Boyd. Continuing the ary to allow the U.S. Probation Officer ample	
28			a timely fashion prior to sentencing.	

- 4. The Government has no objection to the continuance.
- 5. Mr. Boyd is out of custody, and he does not object to the continuance.
- 6. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 7. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defense, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the defendant sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to prepare for the sentencing hearing effectively and thoroughly, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for December 2, 2021, at the hour of 11:00 a.m. be vacated and continued to the 17thday of December 2, 2021, at the hour of 9:00 AM by videoconference.

DATED AND DONE this 28th day of October, 2021.

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE